

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,722	08/27/2001	Ronald A. Schachar	PRES06-00217 5803	
7	7590 09/30/2003			
Docket Clerk			EXAMINER	
P.O. Drawer 80 Dallas, TX 75			WILLSE, DAVID H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Appli ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/940,722	SCHACHAR, RONALD A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dave Willse	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED September 22, 2003, FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application ir	า
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in tr an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEF	•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set	e under t forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amen	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newl	ly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 61-79.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		- 0	
		Mulle	
		Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) inuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations pertaining to the elongated body free ends require further consideration of the prior art. /The Response does not specifically point out the support in the original disclosure for the previously added claims and claim limitations (MPEP 714.02).